Abstract—While in the past decades only low rate acoustic modems were employed for underwater wireless communication, nowadays also high rate optical modems can be used for short range communication, up to a few hundred meters. A key question is what is the expected performance of a modem in a given scenario, in order to predict the coverage range of the system in a network deployment. In the literature, many models have been proposed, but each of them is limited to simulating a particular device or a limited set of scenarios. However, in the last decade, many sea evaluations of optical communications performance in different water conditions have been performed, and many datasets published and presented to the research community. In this paper we collect a database of performance figures of optical modems, including it in the DESERT Underwater network simulator. In addition, we simulate optical communication in a real scenario, thanks to the water measurements retrieved during the ALOMEX’15 NATO cruise.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND RELATED WORKS

Underwater optical communications (UWOP) are a hot topic for both the research and the manufacture areas. Indeed, UWOP pave the way to several new applications, such as underwater high-definition (HD) real-time (RT) wireless video streaming [1] to remotely control underwater vehicles, efficient data muling from sensors [2], and many others. These applications allow the creation of new scenarios that can be deployed in underwater assets for marine biology, military and oil and gas industries. The key question that arises during the design of an underwater deployment is what is the actual performance of UWOP in terms of coverage range, directionality and link stability in a real scenario. Unfortunately, the answer to this question is not trivial, as UWOP may perform very differently depending on the specific environmental conditions. In particular, UWOP are affected by water turbidity, alignment between transmitter and receiver, background light noise and water temperature. This calls for a simulation tool that models UWOP accurately and provides its communication performance given the environmental conditions of a certain location. However, performing a simulation of UWOP that matches well the actual performance of real optical modems is very challenging, as each manufacturer, as well as each research institute that developed its own modem prototype, employs a different transmitter light source and a different receiver, that cannot be modeled in the same way due to the different physical properties. For instance, in [3] the authors employed a set of blue light emitting diodes (LEDs) as a transmitter, and a Si-PIN photo-diode [4] as a receiver, while in [5] the receiver choice was an avalanche photodiode (APD) [6]. Instead, in [1] the authors used a prism of blue LED matrices as transmitter and a receiver based upon a photomultiplier (PMT) [7]. In [8], they used a LED-based transmitter and a Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs) [9] receiver. In [10], the authors employed a blue and a white LED matrices as transmitter, and a photo-sensors with human-eye wavelength sensitivity receiver. Instead, both in [11] and [12], they employed a laser transmitter and a PMT receiver.

Another challenging aspect is to predict how UWOP reacts to the surrounding light noise. Direct light noise to the modem may saturate the receiver, causing the loss of the signal. Some companies and research institutes propose a modem able to limit this effect, with a noise compensation system [1], [10], [13]. However, most of these mechanisms are patented or proprietary, and therefore it is not possible to model them with free access.

Many models for simulating UWOP have been presented in the literature. For instance, in [11] and [12] the authors propose two different Monte Carlo-based models to simulate the laser transmission; however, these models are computationally expensive, specially for emitters composed by multiple light sources, such as matrices of LEDs. In [3], they modeled UWOP by employing the Beer-Lambert’s exponential law, based on the attenuation coefficient \(c\) and the distance between transmitter and receiver \(d\). However, neither an LED nor a laser is a perfect Lambertian light source. In addition, in [14] the authors state that the parameter \(c\) should only be used in the case of a narrow collimated light beam, such as a laser diode. Instead, in the case of an uncollimated beam emitter, like an LED, \(c\) does not characterize the light propagation adequately, and should be replaced by the diffuse attenuation coefficient \(\kappa_d\). The optical properties of the water varies along the water column. For this reason, in [10] the authors included a database of water properties to characterize real scenarios, and modeled UWOP by integrating the Beer-Lambert’s law along the water column. This database includes water temperature \(T\), solar irradiance \(E_0\), optical absorption \(a\) and attenuation coefficients \(c\) of 39 different stations at different wavelengths. These measurements have been retrieved during the ALOMEX’15 research cruise, organized by the NATO STO Centre of Marine Research and Experimentation (CMRE). We extended this approach by including a database of modem performance figures, in order to match the behavior of real transmissions, by overcoming the problem of the Beer-Lambert’s law. This model has been included in the DESERT
In this section we describe how the real performance of UWOP has been modeled. In Section II-A, we describe the performance lookup tables (LUTs) extrapolation, while in Section II-B we present the optical beam pattern model that has been implemented in the DESERT Underwater simulator.

### A. Lookup Table Extraction

In order to include the performance figures of an optical modem in the DESERT Underwater simulator, we extrapolated a set of LUTs from the beam pattern of some state of the art transceivers. For example, the BlueComm 200 beam pattern in ideal water conditions, for different levels of bitrate, namely 2.5, 5 and 10 Mb/s, is presented in Figure 1 [17], and the Ifremer optical modem beam pattern is depicted in Figure 2 [8], when transmitting at 3 Mb/s. From this figure we extrapolated the LUT of the beam pattern section (LUT\textsubscript{bp}), composed of inclination angle from the transmitter with respect to the receiver (\(\theta\)) and the normalized maximum range achievable at that angle (\(n_r(\theta)\)). \(n_r\) has been calculated as

\[
n_r(\theta_k) = \frac{R(\theta_k)}{R(0)},
\]

where \(R(\theta)\) is the maximum transmission range when the inclination between transmitter and receiver is \(\theta = \theta_k\), and \(R(0)\) is the maximum transmission range when transmitter and receiver are perfectly aligned. OPT transmitters and receivers may have a different operational area, and therefore a different LUT\textsubscript{bp}. This is the case of the MIT AquaOptical prototype [5] (Figure 3). The 3D beam pattern is obtained from the rotation of the provided performance figures along the transmitter direction.

We then built the LUT of the maximum range achievable in different water conditions (LUT\textsubscript{cr}) for that modem. For instance, the maximum range of the BlueComm 200 is reported in Figure 4 in the case of deep water (red line) and shallow water (blue line) scenarios, during night operations close to the coast. In the latter case, the light noise caused by moon, stars, coastal and ship lighting lowers the maximum transmission distance of UWOP. In order to create a more fine-grained LUT, we employed the MatLab Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolation (PCHIP) [18], that allowed us to smoothly fit the samples (Figure 4).

### B. Beam Pattern Model

Given a 3D space, we set the transmitter at the origin of the axes and we compute the inclination angles between the transmitter and the receiver. To find the maximum transmission range we compute both the inclination angle \(\theta_{xz}\) between the (X-Y) plane and the straight line connecting transmitter and receiver, and the angle \(\theta_{xy}\) between the x-axis and the projection on the (X-Y) plane of the straight line connecting the transmitter and the receiver. A visualization of the inclination angles used in the model is reported in Figure 5. In general,
We consider the rotation angle of the transmitter equal to $\alpha$. To compute the inclination angle $\theta$ from the direction of the transmitter modem. The position of the axis. In this way the new reference system has the x-axis in the 3D space. Transmitter and receiver have their own rotation angles $\alpha$ and $\theta$ with respect to the y-axis by an angle $\alpha$. The coordinates of the transmitter become in the new reference system become

$$
\Delta_x^{tx} = x_{tx} - x_{rx} \\
\Delta_y^{tx} = y_{tx} - y_{rx} \\
\Delta_z^{tx} = z_{tx} - z_{rx}.
$$

We consider the rotation angle of the transmitter equal to $\alpha_{tx}$. As the first step, to compute $\theta^{tx}$ and $\theta^{tx}_{XY}$, we perform the rotation of the axes by an angle $-\alpha_{tx}$ with respect to the y-axis. In this way the new reference system has the x-axis in the direction of the transmitter modem. The position of the receiver in the new reference system is given by

$$
\Delta_x^{rx} = \Delta_x^{rx} \cos(-\alpha_{tx}) - \Delta_z^{rx} \sin(-\alpha_{tx}) \\
\Delta_y^{rx} = \Delta_y^{rx} \\
\Delta_z^{rx} = \Delta_z^{rx} \sin(-\alpha_{tx}) + \Delta_z^{rx} \cos(-\alpha_{tx}).
$$

To compute the inclination angle $\theta^{rx}$, first we compute

$$
d_{XY}^{tx} = \sqrt{(\Delta_x^{tx})^2 + (\Delta_y^{tx})^2},
$$

then, if $d_{XY}^{tx} = 0$, $\theta^{tx}$ is given by

$$
\theta^{tx} = \begin{cases} 
\pi/2 & \text{if } \Delta_x^{rx} > 0 \\
-\pi/2 & \text{if } \Delta_x^{rx} < 0
\end{cases}
$$

Otherwise, if $d_{XY}^{tx} > 0$, $\theta^{tx}$ is given by

$$
\theta^{tx} = \arctan \frac{\Delta_x^{rx}}{d_{XY}^{tx}}.
$$

To compute $\theta_{XY}^{rx}$, if $\Delta_x^{rx} = 0$, the inclination angle is given by

$$
\theta_{XY}^{rx} = \begin{cases} 
\pi/2 & \text{if } \Delta_y^{rx} > 0 \\
-\pi/2 & \text{if } \Delta_y^{rx} < 0
\end{cases}
$$

otherwise, if $\Delta_x^{rx} > 0$, the angle is equal to

$$
\theta_{XY}^{rx} = \arctan \frac{\Delta_y^{rx}}{\Delta_x^{rx}}.
$$

where the inverse tangent must be suitably defined to take the correct quadrant of the (X-Y) plane into account.

In a similar way, we compute the inclination angles from the receiver’s point of view, i.e., $\theta^{rx}$ and $\theta_{XY}^{rx}$. In this case we set the receiver to be at the origin of our new 3D space. We compute the inclination angle $\theta^{rx}$ between the (X-Y) plane and the straight line connecting transmitter and receiver, and the angle $\theta_{XY}^{rx}$ between the x-axis and the projection on the (X-Y) plane of the straight line connecting the transmitter and the receiver. The rotation angle of the receiver modem is $\alpha_{rx}$. To set the receiver at the origin of the 3D space, the coordinates of the transmitter become

$$
\Delta_x^{tx} = x_{tx} - x_{rx} \\
\Delta_y^{tx} = y_{tx} - y_{rx} \\
\Delta_z^{tx} = z_{tx} - z_{rx}. 
$$

Then we perform a rotation of the axes with respect to the y-axis by an angle $-\alpha_{rx}$. The coordinates of the transmitter in the new reference system become

$$
\Delta_x^{tx} = \Delta_x^{tx} \cos(-\alpha_{tx}) - \Delta_z^{tx} \sin(-\alpha_{tx}) \\
\Delta_y^{tx} = \Delta_y^{tx} \\
\Delta_z^{tx} = \Delta_z^{tx} \sin(-\alpha_{tx}) + \Delta_z^{tx} \cos(-\alpha_{tx}).
$$

Using these coordinates, the way to compute $\theta^{rx}$ and $\theta_{XY}^{rx}$ is the same employed for the transmitter. From the LUT_{bp} of the transmitter and the LUT_{bp} of the receiver, we obtain the normalized attenuation coefficients $n_{tx}^{rx}(\theta^{rx})$, $n_{ty}^{rx}(\theta^{rx})$, $n_{tx}^{rx}(\theta_{XY}^{rx})$, $n_{ty}^{rx}(\theta_{XY}^{rx})$. If the angle obtained with the previous computations is not an entry of the LUT_{bp}, a linear interpolation is performed to find the actual attenuation coefficient.

The last step is to find the maximum transmission range for the given water conditions. If transmitter and receiver are at the same depth $d$, we retrieve the value of $c$ in the LUT related to this depth. If the actual value of $d$ is not an entry of the LUT, a linear interpolation is performed. If the transmitter and the receiver are at different depths, we compute the equivalent value of the attenuation coefficient ($c_{eq}$), and
find the maximum transmission range for $c_{eq}$. Given $d_N$ and $c_N$ the depth and the attenuation coefficient of the deeper node and $d_1$ and $c_1$ the values related to the other node, $c_{eq}$ is computed as the weighted average of $c$ in the LUT:

$$c_{eq} = \frac{1}{d_N - d_1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{c_k + c_{k+1}}{2} (d_{k+1} - d_k).$$

If the maximum transmission range for the given $c_{eq}$ is $R(0)$, the actual transmission range considering the relative position of the transmitter and receiver is

$$R = R(0) \cdot n_{tx}^t (\theta_{tx}) \cdot n_{rx}^r (\theta_{rx}) \cdot n_{tx}^t (\theta_{tx}) \cdot n_{rx}^r (\theta_{rx}).$$

III. RESULTING BEAM PATTERN IN REAL SCENARIOS

In this section we present the results for the maximum transmission range of the Bluecomm 200 simulated in a real scenario. In this case we suppose that $\text{LUT}_{lp}$ is the same for the transmitter and the receiver. For each scenario, we placed the transmitter in a static position, with the rotation angle $\alpha_{tx} = 0$ rad, and moved the receiver in different positions to find the maximum transmission range in which receiver and transmitter still communicate. In all the positions, the receiver has a rotation angle $\alpha_{rx} = \pi$ rad. We used the values of the attenuation coefficient $c$ and noise measured during the ALOMEX’15 research cruise in 2 different locations. For each location, the average value of the attenuation coefficient $\bar{c}$ has been calculated along the water column, using Equation (11) with $d_1 = 1$ m and $d_N$ equal to the maximum depth of the water column. The resulting beam pattern has been computed in four cases:

1) case 1: variable attenuation coefficient for different depths in the presence of surrounding light noise during a night operation;
2) case 2: variable attenuation coefficient for different depths in deep dark water;
3) case 3: $c = \bar{c}$, in the presence of surrounding light noise during a night operation;
4) case 4: $c = \bar{c}$, in deep dark water.

The first location has latitude 30°42.52’ N and longitude 10°18.68’ W, offshore the coast of Morocco. In this scenario the water column depth is 128 m and the transmitter is placed at a depth of 60.5 m. In Figure 6, right hand side, both the values of the attenuation coefficient for each depth (solid blue line) and the values of $c_{eq}$ computed from the transmitter point of view (dashed red line) are presented, and $\bar{c} = 0.596$ m$^{-1}$. The maximum transmission range has been computed in the 4 cases and is reported in Figure 7, left hand side. To the previous case, the transmission range becomes bigger at increased depth, following the trend of the attenuation coefficient. In this scenario, the maximum transmission range along the x-axis is lower than in the first location, because the attenuation coefficient in this area is bigger than in the previous one, due to the high turbidity of the water.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we presented a new approach for the simulation of UWOP. Instead of employing an analytical or a Monte Carlo based model, we built a database of modem performance, retrieved from data presented in the literature. This model has been integrated with a large set of water scenario characterizations, obtained from real field measurements. Future work will include the extension of the performance figures database to a wider set of optical modems, by collecting information about both commercial modems, such as [13], [19], [20], and research prototypes, such as [5], [21], [22].
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